Ethiosemitic Inflectional Morphology

Lecture 04 LIN 4409/5609

September 15, 2023

The Ethiosemitic Language Family

The Ethiosemitic languages are distributed Ethiopia, Eritrea, and Sudan

North Ethiosemitic

Ge'ez (†) - Horn of Africa

Tigre - Eritrea, 1.05M

Tigrinya - Eritrea and Ethiopia, 9.85M

South Ethiosemitic

Amharic - Ethiopia, 32M

Argobba - Central Ethiopia, 44K

Gafat (†) - Western Ethiopia

Gurage languages - Central Ethiopia, 2M

Harari - Eastern Ethiopia, 26K



Figure: Distribution of Ethiosemitic languages

Ethiosemitic Inflectional Morphology

Amharic and Tigrinya have a number of inflectional morphological features in common:

Nominal

Φ-features

Number

Gender

Case/Differential Object Marking

Verbal

Root internal TAM

Subj/Obj/Appl suffixes

Negation

Pronominal Φ -Features: Independent pronouns in both languages inflect for person, number, and gender, while also having honorific forms

Subjective personal pronouns	Objective personal pronouns	Agreement
ንቡ	ንዓሉ (ንኣሉ)	3MSg
niss-u	nɨʕaʔ-u (nɨʔaʔ-u)	
ንሳ	ንዓኣ (ንኣኣ)	3SgF
niss-a	nifa?-a (ni?a?-a)	
ን ሶም	ንዓኦም (ንኣኦም)	3MH
niss-om	nifa?-om (ni?a?-om)	
ንሰን	ንዓእን (ንኣእን)	3FH
niss-än	niSa?-än (ni?a?-än)	
ንሳ ቶም	ንዓኣቶም (ንኣኣቶም)	3MPl
nɨssa-tom	nifa?a-tom (ni?a?a-tom)	
34 +3	ንዓኣተን (ንኣኣተን)	3FP1
nissa-tän	nifa?-tän (ni?a?-tän)	
<i>ን</i> ስኻ	ንዓኻ (ንኣኻ)	2MSg
nissi-ka	nɨʕa-k̪a (nɨʔa-k̪a)	
ንስ ኺ	ንዓኺ (ንኣኺ)	2FSg
nissi-ki	nɨʕa-ki (nɨʔa-ki)	
ንስ ` ዥም	<i>ን</i> ዓዥም (ንኣኦም)	2M[Pl/H]
nissi-kum	niSa-kum (niPa-kum)	
ንስ ሽን	ንዓሽን (ንኣሽን)	2F[Pl/H]
nissi-kin	niSa-kin (ni?a-kin)	
<i>ን</i> ስኻትኩም	<i>ን</i> ዓኻትኩም (ንኣኻትኩም)	2MPl
nissi-katkum	nifa-katkum (nifa-katkum)	
ንስኻት <i>ክን</i>	ንዓኻትክን (ንኣኻትክን)	2FPI
nissi-katkin	ni?a-katkin (ni?a-katkin)	
ት ን	ንዓይ (ንኣይ)	1Sg
?an-ä	niîa-yi (ni?a-yi)	
ንሕና	ንዓና (ንኣና)	1Pl
nɨḥ-na	niîa-na (ni?a-na)	

	Singular	Plural
3m	əssu	ənnässu
3 f.	əsswa	-
3h	əssaččäw	-
2m	antä	ənnantä
2 f.	anči	-
2h	əsswo	-
1	əne	әñña

Figure: Amharic independent pronouns

Figure: Tigrinya independent pronouns

overfelt@oakland.edu

Determiner Φ**-Features**: Determiners in both languages inflect for number and gender, while encoding a 2-way deixis system

Agr.	Det/Dist	Dist pronoun	Det/Prox	Prox pronoun
3MSg	እቲ ?ɨt-i	እቲ ?ɨt-i	እዚ ?ɨz-i	እዚ ?ɨz-i
3FSg	እታ ?it-a	እቲካ -?ɨti-?a	እዛ ?ɨz-a	እዚኣ ?ɨzi-?a
3MH	እቶም ?ɨt-om	እቲኦም ?ɨti-?om	እዞም ?ɨz-om	እዚኦም?ɨzi-?om
3FH	እተን ?ɨt-än	እቲአን ?ɨti-?än	እዘን ?ɨz-än	እዚአን ?ɨzi-?än
3MPl	እቶም ?ɨt-om	እቲኣቶም ?ɨti-?atom	እዞም ?ɨz-om	እዚኣቶም ?ɨzi-?atom
3FP1	እተን ?ɨt-än	እቲኣተን ?iti-?atän	እዘን ?ɨz-än	እዚላተን ?ɨzi-?atän

Figure: Tigrinya determiners

	PROXI	MAL	DISTAL		
	$\overline{F_{REE}}$	BOUND	F_{REE}	BOUND	
MSG	jəh	-zzih	ja	-zzija	
FSG	jəţfţf(i)	-zzitftf	jatftf(i)	-zzijatftf	
PL	?ənnäzzih	-nnäzzi	?ənnäzzija	-nnäzzija	

Figure: Amharic determiners

Short form determiners in Tigrinya serve to indicate definiteness; definite-marking in Amharic is significantly more complex (Kramer 2009)

Long form determiners in Tigrinya follow the noun in cases of double determination and can serve as demonstrative pronouns

Both languages have bound forms that plausibly arise via morphological processes

Neither language has indefinite determiners but uses the word for "one" to mark specificity

Number: Both languages have multiple strategies for marking number on nouns

Internal derivation of the plural: \hbar armaz 'elephant' $\rightarrow \hbar$ aramɨz 'elephants' (*Tigrinya*)

Suffixal derivation of the plural is more common:

(1) Tigrinya suffixal plural

- a. **ሰብ** > **ሰባት** säb > säb-at person.Sg > person-Pl
- b. ሕጻን > ሕጻናት ḥɨṣan > ḥɨṣan-at baby.Sg > baby-Pl

- c. ねよ > ねよナナ ?addä > ?addä-tat mother.Sg > mother-Pl
- d. ねり > ねりょう ?abbo > ?abbo-tat father.Sg > father-Pl

GENDER	SG INDF	SG DEF	PL INDF	PL DEF	GLOSS
М	bet	bet-u	bet-off	bet-otft-u	'house'
F	wäf	wäf-wa	wäf-otft	wäf-otftf-u	'bird'
M	säw	säw i jje-w	säw-off	säw-off-u	'man'
F	set	setijjo-wa	set- off	set-otft-u	'woman'

Figure: Amharic suffixal plural

Gender : Both languages distinguish grammatically between two genders (i.e., noun classes): masculine/feminine

The default gender in both languages is masculine

Gender assignment in both languages is generally arbitrary, with only a few animate nouns having predetermined gender (i.e., wədi "boy", g^wal "girl"; *Tigrinya*)

Gender is often unmarked, being an inherent property of nouns

Nouns that refer to entities in Tigrinya (and possibly in Amharic) can be treated as grammaticality masculine or feminine

In certain contexts gender can have a pragmatic function:

masculine: unexpectedly or undesirably large size; pejorative (Tigrinya)

feminine: unexpectedly small size; diminutive

Adjectival Agreement: Adjectives in both languages generally agree with the noun they modify in person and number

(2) nɨfuʕ wədi clever.m boy.m 'a clever boy' (*Tigrinya*) (3) nɨfɨ-ti gwal clever-r girl.r 'a clever girl' (Tigrinya)

Some suffixes, including the plural, are distributed across all/several nominal elements in a phrase and, in Tigrinya, overwrite other suffixes

(4) nifif-at səb-at clever.p person.p 'clever people' (Tigrinya) (5) Sabəj-ti məts'haf-ti big.p book.p 'big books' (*Tigrinya*)

Plural nouns with masculine singular agreement (on D, A, V) in Tigrinya can give rise to collective readings

Case/Differential Object Marking : Both languages display nominative/accusative alignment and mark the object of verbs

(6) jonas <u>n</u>-ət-a məts'ħaf Yonas DOM-that-FS book gəzi?-Ø-wa PRF.buy-S3MS-O3FS 'Yonas bought the book.' (*Tigrinya*) (7) ləmma wɨ∬a-w-ɨn j-aj-al Lemma dog-def-acc S3Ms-see-aux.S3Ms 'Lemma sees the dog.' (Amharic)

Both languages display the phenomenon of Differential Object Marking (DOM; Bossong 1991)

(8) jonas məts'ħaf gəzi?-u Yonas book PRF.buy-S3MS 'Yonas bought a book.' (*Tigrinya*) (9) ləmma wɨ∫ʃa j-aj-al Lemma dog S3Ms-see-AUX.S3Ms 'Lemma sees a dog.' (Amharic)

The relevant dimension for DOM in both languages is definiteness/specificity (Aissen 2003)

(10) Definiteness scale
Pronoun > Name > Definite > Indefinite Specific > Indefinite Nonspecific

Ethiosemitic Inflectional Morphology

Amharic and Tigrinya have a number of inflectional morphological features in common:

Nominal

Φ-features

Number

Gender

Case/Differential Object Marking

Verbal

Root internal TAM

Subj/Obj/Appl suffixes

Negation

Tense-Aspect-Mood : TAM is indicated via vowel templates and gemination

	Perfective		Imperfective		
Agr. Values	PerfH	PerfS	Imperf	Jussive	
3MSg	säbär-ä	säbir-u	yi-säbbir-ø	yi-sibär-ø	
3FSg	säbär-ät	säbir-a	ti-säbbir-ø	ti-sibär-ø	
3MPl	säbär-u	säbir-om	yi-säbir-u	yi-sibär-u	
3FPl	säbär-a	säbirr-än	yi-säbir-a	yi-sibär-a	
2MSg	säbär-ka	säbir-ka	ti-säbbir-ø	sɨbär-ø	
2FSg	säbär-ki	säbir-ki	ti-säbir-i	sɨbär-i	
2MPl	säbär-kum	säbir-kum	ti-säbir-u	sɨbär-u	
2FPl	säbär-kɨn	säbir-kɨn	ti-säbir-a	sɨbär-a	
1Sg	säbär-ku	säbir-ä	?i-säbbir-ø	?i-sibär-ø	
1Pl	säbär-na	säbir-na	ni-säbbir-ø	ni-sibär-ø	

	Perfective	Imperfective	JUSSIVE	Converb
Зѕм	säbbär-ä	yɨ-säbr-	yi-sbär	säbro (<*säbrä-u)
3sf	säbbär-äčč	tə-säbr-	tə-sbär	säbr-a (<*säbrä-a)
2sm	säbbär-k	tə-säbr-	(t-) səbär	säbrä-h
2sf	säbbär-š	tə-säbr-i-	(t-) səbär-i	säbrä-š
1s	säbbär-k ^w	ə-säbr- (-l-säbr)	lə-sbär	säbərre (<*säbrä- ^{GEM} e)
3P = (2/3H)	säbbär-u	vi-säbr-u-	vi-sbär-u	säbrä-w
2Р	säbbär-aččuh	tə-säbr-u-	(t-) səbäru	säbraččuh (<*säbrä-aččuh)
1Р	säbbär-ən	ənnə-säbr-	ənnə-sbär	säbrä-n

Figure: Tigrinya TAM pattern

Figure: Amharic TAM pattern

Subject agreement in the imperfect is prefixing in the way typical of Semitic

The converb / simple perfect / gerund form can appear with auxiliaries

Verbal Classes : TAM inflection may vary on the basis of several verb classes

		A (non-ge	eminating)	B (geminating) C (a forms)		4 CONSONANT			
PERSON	GENDER	s	р	s	р	s	р	s	р
3	m	səbər-ə	səbər-u(ta)	bəddəl-ə	bəddəl-u(ta)	barəx-ə	barəx-u(ta)	məskər-ə	məskər-u(ta)
•	f	səbər-ət	səbər-a(ta)	bəddəl-ət	bəddəl-a(ta)	barex-et	barəx-a(ta)	məskər-ət	məskər-a(ta)
2	m	səbər-ka	səbər-((k)at)kum	bəddəl-ka	bəddəl-((k)at)kum	barəx-ka	barəx-((k)at)kum	məskər-ka	məskər-((k)at)kum
2	f	səbər-ki	səbər-((k)at)kɨn	bəddəl-ki	bəddəl-((k)at)k i n	barəx-ki	barəx-((k)at)kin	məskər-ki	məskər-((k)at)kin
1	С	səbər-ku	səbər-na(-ta)	bəddəl-ku	bəddəl-na(-ta)	barəx-ku	barəx-na(-ta)	məskər-ku	məskər-na(-ta)

Figure: Perfective verb form of Tigrinya verb classes

Verbal Agreement: Verbs are conjugated on the basis of their subject, direct objects, or applied objects

	Perfective	Imperfective	JUSSIVE	Converb
3ѕм	säbbär-ä	yi-säbr-	yi-sbär	säbro
3sf	säbbär-äčč	tə-säbr-	tə-sbär	(<*säbrä-u) säbr-a (<*säbrä-a)
2sm	säbbär-k	tə-säbr-	(t-) səbär	säbrä-h
2sf	säbbär-š	tə-säbr-i-	(t-) səbär-i	säbrä-š
1s	säbbär-k*	ə-säbr- (-l-säbr)	lə-sbär	säbərre (<*säbrä- ^{GEM} e)
3P (= 2/3H)	säbbär-u	vi-säbr-u-	vi-sbär-u	säbrä-w
2Р	säbbär-aččuh	tə-säbr-u-	(t-) səbäru	säbraččuh (<*säbrä-aččuh)
1р	säbbär-ən	ənnə-säbr-	ənnə-sbär	säbrä-n

Figure: Amharic subject marking pattern

	OBJECT MARKER	Applicative -ll	Applicative -bb
3ѕм	gäddälä-w	gäddälä-llät	gäddälä-bbät
3sf	gäddäl(ä)-at	gäddälä-ll-at	gäddälä-bb-at
2sm	gäddälä-h	gäddälä-ll-əh	gäddälä-bb-əh
2sf	gäddälä-š	gäddälä-ll-əš	gäddälä-bb-əš
1s	gäddälä-ññ	gäddälä-ll-əññ	gäddälä-bb-əññ
3Р	gäddäl(ä)-aččäw	gäddälä-ll-aččäw	gäddälä-bb-aččäw
2Р	gäddäl(ä)-aččuh	gäddälä-ll-aččuh	gäddälä-bb-aččuh
1Р	gäddälä-n	gäddälä-ll-ən	gäddälä-bb-ən
	'he killed him, etc.'	'he killed for him, etc.'	'he killed to his detriment, etc.'

Figure: Amharic object and applicative marking pattern

Verbal Agreement: Verbs are conjugated on the basis of their subject, direct objects, or applied objects

	Perfective		Imperfective		
Agr. Values	PerfH	PerfS	Imperf	Jussive	
3MSg	säbär-ä	säbir-u	yɨ-säbbɨr-ø	yɨ-sɨbär-ø	
3FSg	säbär-ät	säbir-a	ti-säbbir-ø	ti-sibär-ø	
3MPl	säbär-u	säbir-om	yi-säbir-u	yɨ-sɨbär-u	
3FPl	säbär-a	säbirr-än	yi-säbir-a	yɨ-sɨbär-a	
2MSg	säbär-ka	säbir-ka	ti-säbbir-ø	sɨbär-ø	
2FSg	säbär-ki	säbir-ki	ti-säbir-i	sɨbär-i	
2MPl	säbär-kum	säbir-kum	ti-säbir-u	sɨbär-u	
2FPl	säbär-kɨn	säbir-kɨn	ti-säbir-a	sɨbär-a	
1Sg	säbär-ku	säbir-ä	?i-säbbir-ø	?i-sibär-ø	
1Pl	säbär-na	säbir-na	nɨ-säbbɨr-ø	ni-sibär-ø	

Figure: Tigrinya subject marking pattern

Verbal Agreement: Verbs are conjugated on the basis of their subject, direct objects, or applied objects

gäzi?-'b	ought'		Object								
Sub	ject	3MSg	3FSg	3MPl	3FPl	2MSg	2FSg	2MPl	2FPl	1Sg	1Pl
3MSg	u	wo	wa	wom	wän	ka	ki	kum	kɨn	ni	na
3FSg	a	to	ta	tom	tän	tka	tki	tkum	tkin	tni	tna
3MPl	om	wo	wa	wom	wän	<u>k</u> a	ķi	kum	<u>ķ</u> im	ni	na
3FPl	än	?o	?a	wom	?än	<u>k</u> a	<u>k</u> a	kum	<u>k</u> in	ni	na
2MSg	ka	yo	ya	yom	yän	-	-	-	-	ni	na
2FSg	ki/i	yo	ya	yom	yän	-	-	-	-	ni	na
2MPl	kum	wo	wa	wom	wän	-	-	-	-	ni	na
2FPl	kɨn	?o	?a	7om	?än	-	-	-	-	7/a-ni	?/a-na
1Sg	ä	yo	ya	yom	yän	ka	ki	kum	kɨn	-	-
1Pl	na	yo	ya	yom	yän	ka	ki	kum	kɨn	-	-

Figure: Tigrinya object marking pattern

gäzi?- 'bought'		Object									
Subject		3MSg	3FSg	3MPl	3FPl	2MSg	2FSg	2MPl	2FPl	1Sg	1Pl
3MSg	u	lu	la	lom	län	lka	lki	lkum	lkɨn	läy	lna
3FSg	a	t i -lu	t i -la	t i -lom	t i -län	t i -lka	t i -lki	tɨlkum	t i -lk i n	ti-läy	t i -lna
3MPl	om	lu	la	lom	län	i-lka	i-lķi	i-lkum	i-lkim	läy	lna
3FPl	än	a-lu	a-la	a-lom	a-län	a-lka	a-lka	a-lkum	a-l <u>k</u> in	a-läy	a-lna
2MSg	ka	lu	la	lom	län	-	-	-	-	läy	lna
2FSg	ki/ i	lu	la	lom	län	-	-	-	-	läy	lna
2MPl	kum	lu	la	lom	län	-	-	-	-	läy	lna
2FPl	kɨn	a-lu	a-la	a-lom	a-län	-	-	-	-	a-läy	a-lna
1Sg	ä	lu	la	lom	län	lka	lki	lkum	lkɨn	-	-
1Pl	na	lu	la	lom	län	lka	lki	lkum	lkɨn	-	-

Figure: Tigrinya applicative marking pattern

Suffix Ordering: Verbal agreement suffixes have ordering and (co)occurrence constraints

Subject markers appear on all verbal elements and closest to the stem; object marking appears only on the verb

(11) 7it-i səbaj n-ət-a dəbdabe ts'ihif-u-wa 7al-o(*-wa)
that-ms man DOM-that-FS letter GER.write-S3ms-O3ms AUX-S3ms(-O3ms)
'The man has written the letter.' (Tigrinya)

Direct object marking and applied object marking are in complementary distribution

- (12) 7it-i səbaj n-ət-a g^wal gənzəb səriq-u-<u>wa</u> that-мs man DOM-that-FS girl money GER.steal-S3MS-O3FS 'The man robbed the girl of money.'
- (13) ?it-i səbaj n-ət-a g^wal gənzəb səriq-u-<u>la</u> that-ms man DOM-that-FS girl money GER.steal-S3MS-O3FS 'The man stole money from the woman.'

Negation: Sentential negation in both languages uses a circumfix on the highest verbal element

(14) <u>?aj</u>-səbir-u-<u>n</u> NEG-PRF.break-S3MS-NEG 'he did not break.' (*Tigrinya*) (15) Pal-səbbər-ə-mm

NEG-PRF.break-S3MS-NEG

'he did not break.'

(Amharic)

References I

Aissen, Judith. 2003. Differential object marking: Iconicity vs. economy. Natural 21:435–483.

Bossong, Georg. 1991. Differential object marking in Romance and beyond. In *New analyses in Romance linguistics*, ed. Dieter Wanner & Douglas A. Kibbee, 143–170. Amsterdam, NL: John Benjamins.

Huehnergard, John, & Na'ama Pat-El, ed. 2019. *The Semitic Languages, Second Edition*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Kifle, Nazareth Amlesom. 2011. Tigrinya applicatives in Lexical-Functional Grammar. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Bergen, Bergen.

Kramer, Ruth. 2009. Definite markers, phi-features, and agreement: A morphosyntactic investigation of the Amharic DP. Doctoral Dissertation, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA.

Rose, Sharon. 2000. Rethinking geminates, long-distance geminates, and the OCP. *Linguistic Inquiry* 31:85–122.

Weninger, Stefan, ed. 2011. The Semitic Languages: An international handbook. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.

18 / 18